



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,283	06/28/2002	Norman Arnold Turnquist	121251	4427

6147 7590 09/03/2003

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY
GLOBAL RESEARCH CENTER
PATENT DOCKET RM. 4A59
PO BOX 8, BLDG. K-1 ROSS
NISKAYUNA, NY 12309

EXAMINER

PATEL, VISHAL A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3676

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/064,283

Applicant(s)

TURNQUIST ET AL

Examiner

Vishal Patel

Art Unit

3676

-- Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on th cov r sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 August 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Basu et al (US. 5,884,918).

Basu et al discloses a turbine having a brush seal, an energy source of the steam turbine is selected from the group consisting essentially of nuclear plants, fossil-fuel plants and combined cycle plants (inherent uses of a turbine source), the brush seal is used to reduce leakage of a working fluid, the brush seal comprising:

a bristle holder (72 and 74) attachable to the steam turbine;

a plurality of bristles coupled to the bristle holder, the plurality of bristles comprising Ni, Cr and etc (bristles formed of nickel alloy, Haynes 25 or Haynes 214);

the operating temperature of the section is in the range between about 100 degrees and about 500 degrees F (intended use environment, well known in the art that turbine operate at this temperature range, see applicant specification page 1);

the operating pressure of the section is up to about 160 psia (intended use environment, well known in the art that the turbine operate at high pressure, see applicant specification page 1);

a stator disposed in the steam turbine (turbine housing is the stator);

Art Unit: 3676

a rotor (28) spaced apart from the stator so as to define a gap therebetween; and
a brush seal disposed in a section of the steam turbine.

Basu discloses the claimed invention except for that the bristles are made of about 16% Cr, about 16% Mo, about 5% Fe, about 4% W, less than about 2.5% Co, about 1% Mn, about 0.35% V, about 0.08% Si, about 0.01 % C, and remainder of Ni (meaning the bristle are formed from Hastelloy manufactured by Haynes). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the bristle be made of Hastelloy C-276), since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a know material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. Furthermore evidence is shown of art equivalent material by Modell, that form bristles from material such as a nickel alloy or Hastelloy C-276 (material of applicant).

3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Basu in view of Modell et al (US. 5,252,224).

Basu disclose the invention substantially as claimed above but fail to disclose that the bristles are made of about 16% Cr, about 16% Mo, about 5% Fe, about 4% W, less than about 2.5% Co, about 1% Mn, about 0.35% V, about 0.08% Si, about 0.01 % C, and remainder of Ni (meaning the bristle are formed from Hastelloy manufactured by Haynes). Modell et al discloses a brush seal having bristle (brush 122 having bristles) and the bristle are made of Inconel 625 (nickel alloy) or Hastelloy C-276 or stainless steel (column 14, lines 40-45). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the bristle of Basu to have that the bristles are made of about 16% Cr, about 16% Mo, about 5%

Art Unit: 3676

Fe, about 4% W, less than about 2.5% Co, about 1% Mn, about 0.35% V, about 0.08% Si, about 0.01 % C, and remainder of Ni (meaning the bristle are formed from Hastelloy manufactured by Haynes) as taught by Modell, since having one nickel or another nickel alloy for bristle is considered to be art equivalent and providing bristle that have better integrity (column 14, line 47 of Modell).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 8/14/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argument Basu is not persuasive since it is well know in the art to choose bristle material, evidence shown by Modell.

Applicant argument against rejection made by Basu and Modell are not persuasive since Basu discloses every limitation of the claimed invention except for the material of the bristles and Modell is used to only teach that bristles can be made of Hastelloy C-276, stainless steel or Inconel 625. Furthermore Modell teaches that bristles made form the above material are considered to be art equivalent materials for bristles.

5. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., nuclear power plant, low temperature or low pressure) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Furthermore low pressure or temperature are relative terms (meaning 50 degrees F is low temperature compared to 100 degrees F).

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishal Patel whose telephone number is (703) 308-8495. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 PM to 4:00 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight, can be reached on (703) 309-3179.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168. Technology Center 3600 Customer Service is available at 703-308-1113. General Customer Service numbers are at 800-786-9199 or 703-308-9000. Fax Customer Service is available at 703-872-9325.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: 703-872-9326, for formal communications for entry before Final action: or,
703-872-9327, for formal communications for entry after Final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/064,283

Page 6


Art Unit: 3676

For informal or draft communications, please label "**PROPOSED**" or "**DRAFT**" and fax to: 703-746-3814.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park Five, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia, Seventh Floor (Receptionist suite adjacent to the elevator lobby).

VP

August 25, 2003



Anthony Knight
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Tech. Center 3600